

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,635	10/799,635 03/15/2004		Katsunori Nagata	2589-23	8537
23117	7590	08/17/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON &	VANDER	HYE, PC	CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
manoro	., ,, ,,,	200		2871	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ar				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/799,635	NAGATA, KATSU	NORI				
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tarifur R. Chowdhury	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>15 M</u>	arch 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in cor	ndition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the	practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in	the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrav	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	l.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	· · · · · ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examine	er						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	-	•						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form Pi	U-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ Non	· ·	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the p	priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the p								
 -	•	-	n received in this National	Stage				
application from the Inte		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Lanca Sura d	:				
* See the attached detailed Offic	e action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.					
·								
Attachment(s)								

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/15/04</u>.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/799,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 4, lines 5-6, "the spare terminal" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata et al., (Nagata), USPAT 6,342,931.
- 6. Nagata discloses and shows in Fig. 9, a display device comprising a display panel having a spare wiring with which to repair imperfect connection in a signal wiring,

wherein a terminal portion of the spare wiring is composed of first and second portions that are located at separate positions on a same surface of the display panel.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 2 are anticipated.

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 4, the limitation of connecting the signal wiring to the spare wiring and connecting together the first and second portions of the terminal portion is considered as a product by process limitation. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985); see also MPEP 2113).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata as applied to claims 1, 2 and 4 above.
- 9. As to claim 3, positioning the terminal portion of the spare wiring at positions separate in a direction perpendicular to a display surface of the display panel is an obvious variation of positioning the terminal portion of the spare wiring at positions on a same surface of the display panel and thus would have been obvious.

Note: If applicant disagree with examiner's assertion, applicant is reminded that a restriction requirement might be proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,635

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-2287. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRC August 15, 2005

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER